

7th

✓
Babington, Mayor.



Martin xxx. Di. April 1717.
Annus; Regni Regis Georgii
Magnae Britanniae &c. Tertio.

T H E

Dean of CHICHESTER'S
S E R M O N

At St. BRIDGET'S-Church,

O N

E A S T E R-T U E S D A Y, April 23. 1717.



Bateman, Mayor.

*Martis xxx^o. Die April' 1717.
Annoq; Regni Regis GEORGII,
Magnæ Britannix, &c. Tertio.*

IT is Ordered, That the Thanks of this Court be given to the Reverend Dr. SHERLOCK, Dean of *Chichester*, for his Sermon preach'd before this Court, and the Governors of the several Hospitals of this City, at the Parish Church of *St. Bridget*, on *Tuesday* in *Easter-Week* last, and that he be desired to Print the same.



Per Cur'.

Le GROS.

A
SERMON

Preach'd before the
RIGHT HONOURABLE
THE
LORD-MAYOR,
THE
ALDERMEN,
AND
GOVERNORS of the several Hospitals
of the City of *London*,

AT THE
Parish-Church of St. BRIDGET,
ON
TUESDAY in *Easter-Week*, April 23. 1717.

By THOMAS SHERLOCK, D. D.
Dean of *Chichester*, Master of the *Temple*, and
Chaplain in Ordinary to his MAJESTY.

L O N D O N :
Printed for J. PEMBERTON, at the *Buck and Sun*
against St. *Dunstan's-Church* in *Fleetstreet*. MDCCXVII.

Bp K

SEERMON

Preach'd before the

RIGHT HONOURABLE

THE

LORD MAYOR,

THE

ALDERMEN,

AND

Governors of the several Hospitals
of the City of London,

AT THE

Parish-Church of St. Bride's,



Tuesday in Easter Week, April 23. 1717.

By Thomas Sherlock, D. D.
Dean of Gloucester, Master of the Temple, and
Chaplain in Ordinary to his Majesty.

LONDON:

Printed for J. PEMBERTON, at the Book and Swan
against St. Dunstons Church in Fleet-street. MDCCXVII.

ACTS XX. 35.

I have shewed you all things, how that so labouring ye ought to support the weak; and to remember the words of the Lord Jesus, how he said, It is more blessed to give than to receive.

T H E S E Words conclude the moving Speech which St. Paul made to the Elders of the Church of *Epheſus*, when he took his final Leave of them: The Time he had to diſcourſe with them was but little, and the Occaſion was very Solemn; which Circumſtances would determine him to mention nothing to them, but what he judged to be of the laſt Conſequence and Concern; and what they ought always to remember, as the dying Words of their great Teacher and Apoſtle. At the 25th Verſe, you find him under the Paſſion of a Father bidding adieu to his Children and the World; *And now, behold, I know that ye all among whom I have gone preaching the Kingdom of God, ſhall ſee my face no more.* But to ſhew that his Care for them would outlive himſelf, he gives them his laſt Advice, the beſt, the only Legacy

he had to bestow. Two Things he especially recommends to them, The Care of the Church of God, and the providing for the Necessities of the Poor and Helpless. The former Charge you have at the 28th Verse; *Take heed therefore unto your selves, and to all the flock, over the which the Holy Ghost hath made you overseers, to feed the Church of God, which he hath purchased with his own blood.* The latter you read in the Words of the Text: *I have shewed you all things, how that so labouring ye ought to support the weak; and to remember the words of the Lord Jesus, how he said, It is more blessed to give than to receive.*

There are some Duties so essential to Religion, so necessary to form the Character of a good Man, much more of a good Christian, that they always have been, and, I hope, will always continue to be the Preacher's common Topick. Yet this, I know, is in some respects a Disadvantage, and that Exhortations of this kind are esteemed to be so much things of Course, that they are often used with more effect by others, from whom they are less expected. I have need therefore to bespeak your Favour, that I may be heard on this Subject, without incurring the Censure of being thought a common Beggar. And yet not to dissemble my Intention, beg I wou'd; partly indeed for their Sakes, whose Necessities can no other ways be relieved but by Charity; but

but much more for your sakes, whose ample Fortunes, if rightly administered, entitle you to the choicest Blessings of the Gospel: *For it is more blessed to give than to receive.*

In speaking of the Duty and Obligations of Charity, in this restrain'd Sense of the Word; in which it regards only the Temporal Wants and Necessities of our Brethren; there are Three Things proper to be considered.

First, How far the Obligations of this Duty extend; for that they do not extend equally to all, is evident, because such as are qualified to Receive, are in all ordinary Cases exempted from Giving.

Secondly, Who are duly qualified to receive Charity.

Thirdly, What is the Blessing and Reward that attends upon the faithful Discharge of this Duty.

The First thing to be considered is, How far this Duty extends: I have shewed you all Things, says the Apostle, *How that so labouring ye ought to support the Weak.* In the Verses preceding the Text, he had set before them his own Example, and the Method he took to provide for himself, and those who were with him. *I have coveted, says he, no Man's Silver or Gold, or Apparell. Yea, you your selves know, that these Hands have mini-*
I
sired

fred unto my necessities, and to them that were with me. Now the Exhortation of the Text being founded on the Example which the Apostle himself had given, and those Words, *That so labouring ye ought to support the weak,* necessarily referring to such Labour as St. Paul had undergone, when his own Hands ministred to his own Necessities; 'tis evident, that the Apostle directed, that Part of what they cou'd Earn, even by the Labour of their Hands, shou'd be set aside and dedicated to Works of Charity. The same Direction is repeated in his Epistle to the *Ephesians*, Chap. iv. V. 28. *Let him that stole, steal no more; but rather let him labour, working with his hands the thing which is good, that he may have to give to him that needeth.* Where you find Labour enjoined them, not only that they might have an honest Means of supporting themselves, but that they might have something likewise to spare in Charity to such as were in Distress, and unable to work for their own Living. As the Apostle pleads his own Example to the Elders of the Church of *Ephesus*; so does he likewise to the *Thessalonians*, in his Epistle wrote to them; *Neither did we, says he, eat any man's bread for nought, but wrought with labour and travel night and day, that we might not be chargeable to any of you. Not because we have not power, (i. e. a Right to claim a Maintenance as Ministers*

thers of the Gospel) *but to make our selves an ensample for you to follow us.* Cap. 3. v. 9.

From these Passages laid together, 'tis manifest, that the Apostle calls upon all indifferently, the Elders and Pastors of the Church, as well as others, to labour, working with their Hands; and he charges on their Labour, not only the Duty of providing for themselves and Families, but also the Care of supporting those among them who were indigent and necessitous: But the Measures and Proportions of Charity not being Things of a determinate Nature, but such as are relative to the Circumstances and Conditions of Times and Persons, and vary and change together with them; it must be absurd to apply the Rules relating to Charity, which are to be found in the Holy Scriptures, to our selves and our own Times, without making a due Allowance for the difference in our Circumstances, and theirs to whom the Rules were first directed. And therefore to give you a just Sense of the Meaning of the Text, and of other Apostolical Rules concerning the Practice of Charity, it will be necessary to shew you what was the State of the Times and Persons to which those Rules have Reference.

The Church of Christ at the first preaching of the Gospel, consisted almost wholly of the Poor and Indigent, such as were hardly able to Support and Maintain themselves by

B

their

their Labour, much less to be Liberal towards the Support of others : For this Reason St. Paul chose rather to work for his Bread with his own Hands, than to make his Ministry burdensome to the Churches ; tho' at the same time he asserts the Right he had to be supported by them in his Function, notwithstanding the Narrowness of their own Circumstances. The Learning and Education of the first Converts were no better than their Fortunes ; and even the Rulers of the Church were oftentimes taken from Trades and mean Employments : The Spirit of God wonderfully supplying their Defects, and enabling them under all outward Disadvantages to promote the Cause of the Gospel with great Courage and Success. Upon this account the Gospel is spoken of, as the peculiar Portion and Inheritance of the Poor ; our Saviour gives it as a Characteristick of Himself and his Mission, *That the Poor had the Gospel preached to them,* Matth. 11. 25. And St. Paul addressing to the *Corinthians*, discovers to us the Condition of that Church, *Ye see your calling, Brethren, how that not many wise men after the Flesh, not many mighty, not many noble, are called : But God hath chosen the foolish things of the World, to confound the wise ; and God hath chosen the weak things of the world, to confound the things which are mighty ; and base things of the world, and things which are despised,*
hath

both God chosen, yea, and things which are not, to bring to nought the things that are.
1 Cor. 1. 26, 27, 28.

These Circumstances of the first Christians considered, 'tis easy to justify the Propriety of the Apostle's Exhortation in the Text. When you reflect how Poverty reign'd thro' the whole Body, you will not think it strange that the Apostle speaks of Labour, even to the Elders of the Church; since their own Labour and Work were the only Riches the Christians of those Days were in Possession of; and it must be either Perverseness or Ignorance that makes some argue from this, and other like Passages of Scripture, against a settled Maintenance for the Christian Clergy; without seeing, that the Consequence, if there be any thing in it, must equally affect the whole Body of Christians: For the Reason why the Governors of the Church were Poor, was, because the whole Church was so; and if the Example must be press'd to oblige the present Times, all Men must part either with their Estates, or their Religion; otherwise we cannot be in the Condition of the Primitive Church. It wou'd at this Time of Day be no very proper Exhortation to call upon all Christians without Distinction, to work with their Hands, that they might have something to spare in Charity; but it was not only proper, but necessary in the Beginning, when there was no other Source of Riches in the Church, but the Work and Labour of Christians.

stians. In the Text, you see the Elders, and with them all others, were called upon to labour, that they might be able to support the Weak: And in the Epistle to the *Ephesians*, the Precept is General, *To labour, working with the hands*, that there may be something to spare to him that needeth. Can you imagine, that nothing is fit to be dedicated to Charity, but what is earn'd by Bodily Labour? Or, that those who have plentiful Fortunes and Estates are obliged to work with their Hands, that they may by their Labour supply the Wants of others, which they can better and more effectually supply out of their large Revenues? It may be, and certainly is, very proper for us to exhort you to part with something out of your Abundance, to ease the Wants of the Poor, to spare something out of the Superfluities of Fortune, to support the Necessitous: But it had been very improper for the Apostles so to have exhorted Christians, at a Time when there were none who had either Abundance or Superfluity; all they cou'd do was to admonish, and persuade those who were able to labour, to labour more abundantly, that they might be in a Condition to contribute to the Relief of such as were unfit for Work by Age, Sickness, or other Infirmary. Those who are able to work, who are blessed with Health, and Strength, and Soundness of Limbs, are Rich with

with respect to those, who are incapable thro' want of Limbs, or by the weight of Years, to assist themselves; and therefore, when bodily Labour was the whole Riches of the Church, there was a necessity that the Strong shou'd work to support the Weak; or that the Weak shou'd perish in their Want and Povety. And this shews the Reason of such Precepts of Charity, in which Men are moved to part with something, even out of the little they can Earn by the Sweat of their Brows.

This will help us likewise to understand some other Passages of Scripture relating to the Exercise of Charity. *St. Paul* gives the *Corinthians* timely Notice of his Intention, to make a Collection among them for Charitable Uses; and exhorts them to lay by a little every Week, as they cou'd spare it, that he might find them prepared to contribute when he shou'd come. Now this plainly regards their Poverty and low Circumstances; for had they been Rich, there had been no need for it; but they were not so Wealthy as to pay Bills at Sight; and therefore, their only way was to prepare their Sum by little and little, as their Circumstances wou'd give them leave to spare it.

In the Second Epistle to the *Corinthians*, the Apostle is upon the same Argument, and presses them to be Liberal with great Earnestness; but that he might not seem to bear too
hard

hard upon their Necessities, he thus correct himself; *I mean not that other Men be eased, and you burdened; but by an equality, that now at this time your abundance may be a supply for their want,* 2 Cor. 8. 13, 14. What does this Equality mean, which he would introduce between the Givers and Receivers of Charity? Must we strip our selves so far as to be upon a Level with the Poor, who ask our Alms? This is a hard Saying, and if pursued strictly, would introduce great Confusion and Disorder into the World. But if you consider the Condition of the Church when the Apostle wrote, you'll see how properly he addresses to them. They might well have said to him, Why must all the Burden lie on us, what have we that we do not purchase by irksome Toil and Labour? To prevent which Complaint, the Apostle is before-hand with them in declaring, That he meant not that they shou'd be burdened, and others set at ease: Had he been applying to the Rich and Wealthy, there cou'd have been no occasion for this Caution, for they can give with a liberal Hand, and yet not be burdened. So likewise the Equality he speaks of has the same View, he presses it not as in it self just or necessary; but in respect to the Time it cou'd not be avoided; for when there are none but Poor to releive the Poor, 'tis plain how near the Equality must be between the
Giver

Giver and Receiver. This Equality therefore, the Apostle does not lay down as a general Rule and Proportion, - to be observed in Charity; but rather excuses it, as the necessary Circumstance of the Charity of those Times.

From what has been said, we may learn to give an Answer to the first Enquiry, namely, How far the Duty of Charity extends? The Apostle brings all under the Obligations of it, who are able to Labour; but this must be mitigated by the difference of Circumstances between us, and those to whom the Apostle spoke. He pressed all to Labour, in order to their being Charitable; the Reason is plain, he had none to speak to but such as lived by their Labour: But were he in this Place, at this Day, his Exhortation I doubt not would be directed, especially to the Rich and Wealthy, to such of you as enjoy the Blessings of Heaven in an uncommon Degree. Those who live by their Labour, are Rich and Prosperous in Comparison of the poor Wretches who move miserably on Crutches, or who want Hands to help themselves; and they owe a Tribute to God for the Strength they enjoy of his Gift. This is plain from the Apostle's Rule; and if it is, if even the meaner sort are indebted to their great Master, and must pay an Acknowledgment out of the little they receive; how much greater are your Obligations, who neither Toil, nor Spin, and yet are
cloathed

cloathed in Glory, who neither Reap nor Gather into Barns, and yet are fed in Plenty ? This is plain. But 'tis harder to say, with respect to the lower part of Mankind, where the Obligations to this Duty begins ; for altho' some who Labour may be Debtors to Charity, yet all are not, because all are not able to answer the Necessities of themselves and their Families by the Profit of their Work, and such can be under no Call to contribute to others. If I may have leave to deliver an Opinion in a Matter no where clearly determined, I wou'd found it on the Advice which *St. Paul* gives to the *Corinthians*, That they should lay by in store the First Day of every Week, as God had prospered them ; that is, that some part of what remained after a Provision for the present Occasions of themselves and Families, should be reserved to Charity. In this View, all who are in a saving Way, or who may be so with prudent Care, are under the Obligations of Charity ; for 'tis but reasonable, that we shou'd do good in Proportion as we grow Rich. Between our own present Wants, and the present Wants of others, Nature will admit of no Comparison ; but when we are able to lay up for our selves, 'tis hardly consistent with the Love we owe our Brother, to be so partial to our selves, as to suffer the Care for our own future Wants, to shut out the Consideration of his present Misery.

fery. But this Matter is left to every Man's Discretion, in order to prove his Love, since too strict Rules would in great Measure destroy the Virtue of Charity, the true Value of which lies chiefly in this, that 'tis a free-will Offering.

Let us then proceed to the Second Enquiry, namely, 2. Who are duly qualified to receive Charity.

I have shewed you all things, how that so labouring, ye ought to support the weak. By the Weak here we must understand, such as are not able to labour and work for their own living; for since all who can labour are plac'd on one side, and made Debtors to Charity, the Weak, who are placed on the other side, and who have a Right to be supported by Charity, must be such as are not able to work, or to provide for themselves in any honest Calling or Employment. This Case is fully determin'd by the Apostle in his second Epistle to the Thessalonians, When we were with you, says he, this we commanded you, that if any would not work, neither should he eat; for we hear that there are some which walk among you disorderly, working not at all, but are busy-bodies: Now them that are such we command, and exhort by our Lord Jesus Christ, that with quietness they work, and eat their own bread, Chap. 3. Ver. 10, 11, 12.

C

Here

Here you see all such as could Work, excluded from the Benefit of Charity, and laid under a necessity to labour by this Injunction; *If they will not work, neither let them eat*; that is, let them not be supported in their Idleness, but be compelled by Hunger to do their Duty, that they may, as the Apostle speaks, *Eat their own Bread*, and not become a burden upon the Charity of the Church.

The general Rule then arising from these Apostolical Precepts, seems to be this: That such only are due Objects of Charity, who are thro' Sicknes or other Infirmary rendred incapable of Labours. And yet this Rule, if construed to a rigorous Sense, will be found inconsistent with Reason and Equity; for it may happen, that the Man who is most able to labour, and who does labour to the utmost of his Strength and Power, may be the most piriabile and unexceptionable Object of Charity: The Reason is, because a Man is not in all Cases able to earn so much as the Necessities of himself and Family require; and then he must either perish, or be relieved; and his working for his Maintenance as much as he can, is so far from excluding him, that there cannot be a better Plea in his behalf. Where poor Families are numerous, and consist mostly of young Children, who can bring in nothing to the common Stock, by any thing they are capable

capable of doing, this Case often happens; and the Wants of the Family exceed all that the Diligence and Industry of the Parents can provide.

But tho' we cannot state the Rule of Charity so, as to exclude all who can labour; yet it may seem reasonable perhaps so to limit it, as that all who can work shou'd work before they are entitled to any Assistance from others. There is much more Equity in this than the other; yet even to require this, in all Cases, wou'd be Cruel and Inhuman: We know the Casualties that all human Things are subject to; we have seen many reduced to Poverty and Want, from the most flourishing and prosperous Condition: Fire and Water, Winds and Storms, which are the secret Ministers of Providence, cause mighty Changes in the World, and often place the richest upon the same level with the poorest; and whenever it pleases God to set such Instances before us, the tenderest regard is due to their Misfortunes. When you see a Man fallen under such Calamities, one perhaps too who has been a Father to the needy and friendless, who has relieved Hundreds in the Days of his Prosperity, wou'd you, when the Hand of God was upon him, turn aside from his Affliction, and say, Go, work for your living? Tho' indeed in a reasonable way of judging, they who have not

C 2

been

been inured to labour from their Youth, but have had a liberal ingenuous Education, may be fairly reckoned in the Number of those who are not able to work. The Plea of the unjust Steward in the Gospel, when 'tis put into the Mouth of such an Honest Unfortunate Man, has every thing in it to move your Pity and Compassion, *I cannot dig, to beg I am ashamed.*

Since then there can be hardly any general Rule fixed, which will be equally applicable to all Cases, it may be worth our while to examine the Reason and Equity from which this Duty flows, which will serve to direct us in all the various Circumstances under which the Objects of Charity present themselves.

Charity is a relative Duty, and supposes the Distinction of Rich and Poor, since if there were no such Distinction, there cou'd be no Reason assigned, why any Man shou'd part with what he has to another, who is already in as easy a Fortune and Condition as himself. The Distinction of Rich and Poor supposes Property; for if all Things were in common, and every Man had a Right to serve himself at Discretion out of the Heap, one cou'd not be richer than another, but every Man wou'd have an equal Title to every thing: But then how unequally soever the good Things of the World are divided, the Wants and Necessities of Nature are shared in common:

mon : The Poor are as sensible of Hunger, and Thirst, and Cold, as the Rich are ; and it cannot be supposed that God sent Men into the World with such Wants and Cravings, merely to Starve and Perish under them ; and yet how shall their Wants be supplied, who have nothing to supply them with ? Steal they must not ; for that would be to invade the Sacred Law of Property, and overturn the Order which God has settled and appointed : It remains therefore, that they must obtain the Things they want from the Proprietors of the World, in Exchange for such Services as they are able to perform.

But is this, you'll say, a sufficient Source for the Maintenance of the Poor ? What if those who are in Possession shou'd refuse to accept the Service of the Poor, in exchange for their Wealth ? This wou'd be an hard Question, were there not an equal Necessity on both sides ; had not Providence so ordered it, that the Rich can no more live without the Poor, than the Poor without the Rich ; which being the Case, the Poor who are able to assist the Rich, can never want a Means of Subsistence. How wou'd a Rich Man differ from a Poor one, were he to serve himself in all the Necessities of Life ? What wou'd signify your large Tracts of Land, were you to Plow and Sow with your own Hands ? What Pleasure or Advantage wou'd your numerous
Flocks

Flocks yield, were you to Spin the Wool your selves, before you cou'd be defended from the Winter's Frost? Since then the Rich are under a necessity of being served by the Poor, as much as the Poor are of being maintained by the Rich, it evidently follows, that the Rich have as good a right to require Service from the Poor, as the Poor have to demand Maintenance from the Rich; and consequently the Rich may as reasonably withdraw their Maintenance, as the Poor withdraw their Service; which shews the Equity of the Rule in general; *if any Man will not work, neither let him eat*; for in Truth, were the Poor to be maintained without working, the Rich wou'd be in the worse Case of the two; but there can be no Obligation on the Rich to exercise a Charity, which wou'd destroy the very Distinction of Rich and Poor; and therefore there can be no Obligation on the Rich to maintain an idle Poverty.

'Tis evidently then agreeable to Reason and Equity, That the Poor, who have Strength and Ability for Labour, should work for their living. Let us in the next place consider, How the Duty of the Rich stands with respect to this sort of Poor.

The Right which all Men have to Maintenance and Subsistence, is a superior Right to that of Property; for the great Law of Self-Preservation, is antecedent to all private Laws
and

and Possessions whatever ; the Consequence of which is, that in the last result, the Property of the Rich is subject to the Maintenance of the Poor : Since then the Rich cannot in reason preserve their Property longer than the Poor a way of Maintenance ; and since the Poor have no other way, ordinarily speaking, but their labour ; it follows that the Rich are as much obliged to employ the Poor, as the Poor are to work for the Rich ; and one is as much the necessary consequence of the Distinction between Rich and Poor, as the other.

As reasonable as this may seem upon the general View, yet 'tis hard to tell every particular Rich Man, what the Measure of his Duty is, in this Case ; or how many Poor he ought to employ : But the Wisdom of Providence has in great measure superseded this difficulty ; for a Rich Man cannot enjoy his Estate, cannot live answerably to his Fortune and Condition, without creating a great deal of Work, for the Support and Encouragement of the Poor. The gayest of their Attire comes thro' the Hands of the Poor ; and he that makes a fine Garment for you, will earn a coarse one at least for himself ; he that searches the Seas and Woods to furnish Dainties to your Table, supplies his own at the same time with wholesome, tho' less Delicious Fare. Every Man therefore, who lives answerably to his Condition, does his part in furnishing the Poor with

with Work and Maintenance: And in this Method the Poor receive Support from the Rich, in proportion to the different Degrees of their Wealth; for if all live suitably to their Condition, every Man will furnish work to the Industrious, in Proportion to his Fortune.

Upon this View of the World, you may judge what real Iniquity there is in the Temper and Practice of the Covetous Penurious Miser: That he denies himself the Comforts and Enjoyments of Life, is the least part of his Crime; for whilst he pinches himself, he starves the Poor; and by living like a Beggar in the midst of Plenty, he withdraws from the Needy and Industrious that Maintenance which God has appointed for them. Human Laws have provided no Remedy for this Evil, nor indeed can they; but it may one Day perhaps be found as Criminal to rob the Poor of their Work, as to rob the Rich of their Possessions. Nay, this Oppression often meets with its Reward in the Second or Third Generation, even in this World. 'Tis common to see the Miser's Son or Grandson squander the Wealth of his Ancestor, with the utmost Folly and Profuseness; and when we behold such Instances, can we help thinking, that the Providence of God is using the Extravagance of the Son, to do justice to the Poor, who were injured and oppress'd by the Penury of the Father?

Father? For whatever Mischief Extravagancy may do to private Men and Families, yet this Good at least flows from it, that the same Extravagance which is the Undoing of a Vain Rich Man, often makes way for the Advancement of many an industrious Poor one; or is at least, for the time it lasts, a new Fund of Work thrown into the Maintenance of the Needy.

Whenever this ordinary Method of supporting the Poor fails, the providing for them is a Debt lying over the Possessions of the Rich, as a necessary Condition of that Law which secures them in their Property, by making it penal for the Poor to dispossess them by Force or Violence. And this shews the Reasonableness of our own Law, which has subjected all the Estates and Fortunes of the Kingdom to the Maintenance of the Poor, in defect of other Means; which is not a new Burden laid on private Property by the meer Strength of an arbitrary Law, but is the Voice of Reason and Nature acknowledged and enforced by the Wisdom and Power of the Legislature.

You see then how the Duties arising from the Distinction of Rich and Poor, stand upon the Foot of natural Reason and Equity.

The Gospel, tho' it has left Men in Possession of their ancient Rights, yet has it enlarged the Duties of Love and Compassion; and taught

D

Rich

with Work and Maintenance: And in this Method the Poor receive Support from the Rich, in proportion to the different Degrees of their Wealth; for if all live suitably to their Condition, every Man will furnish work to the Industrious, in Proportion to his Fortune.

Upon this View of the World, you may judge what real Iniquity there is in the Temper and Practice of the Covetous Penurious Miser: That he denies himself the Comforts and Enjoyments of Life, is the least part of his Crime; for whilst he pinches himself, he starves the Poor; and by living like a Beggar in the midst of Plenty, he withdraws from the Needy and Industrious that Maintenance which God has appointed for them. Human Laws have provided no Remedy for this Evil, nor indeed can they; but it may one Day perhaps be found as Criminal to rob the Poor of their Work, as to rob the Rich of their Possessions. Nay, this Oppression often meets with its Reward in the Second or Third Generation, even in this World. 'Tis common to see the Miser's Son or Grandson squander the Wealth of his Ancestor, with the utmost Folly and Profuseness; and when we behold such Instances, can we help thinking, that the Providence of God is using the Extravagance of the Son, to do justice to the Poor, who were injured and oppress'd by the Penury of the Father?

Father ? For whatever Mischief Extravagancy may do to private Men and Families, yet this Good at least flows from it, that the same Extravagance which is the Undoing of a Vain Rich Man, often makes way for the Advancement of many an industrious Poor one ; or is at least, for the time it lasts, a new Fund of Work thrown into the Maintenance of the Needy.

Whenever this ordinary Method of supporting the Poor fails, the providing for them is a Debt lying over the Possessions of the Rich, as a necessary Condition of that Law which secures them in their Property, by making it penal for the Poor to dispossess them by Force or Violence. And this shews the Reasonableness of our own Law, which has subjected all the Estates and Fortunes of the Kingdom to the Maintenance of the Poor, in defect of other Means ; which is not a new Burden laid on private Property by the meer Strength of an arbitrary Law, but is the Voice of Reason and Nature acknowledged and enforced by the Wisdom and Power of the Legislature.

You see then how the Duties arising from the Distinction of Rich and Poor, stand upon the Foot of natural Reason and Equity.

The Gospel, tho' it has left Men in Possession of their ancient Rights, yet has it enlarged the Duties of Love and Compassion ; and taught

D

Rich

Rich Men to consider the Poor, not only as Servants, but as Brethren; and to look upon themselves not only as the Masters, but as the Patrons and Protectors of the Needy. Upon this View, the Industrious Poor are entitled to the Rich Man's Charity; since in the Candor of the Gospel, we ought to assist our Poor Neighbours, not only to live, but to live comfortably: And an honest laborious Poverty has Charms in it to draw Relief from any Rich Man, who has the Heart of a Christian, or even the Bowels of Nature. Mean Families, tho' perhaps they may subsist by their Work, yet go through much Sorrow to earn their Bread; if they complain not, they are more worthy of Regard; their silent Suffering, their contented Resignation to Providence, entitle them to the more Compassion; and there is a Pleasure not to be described in Words, which the Rich Man enjoys, when he makes glad the Hearts of such patient Sufferers; and by his Liberality, makes them for a time forget their Poverty and Distress: That even with respect to the present Enjoyment, the Words of the Text are verified, *'Tis more blessed to give, than to receive.*

But to speak of the Duty strictly, Charity must begin where the Maintenance of the Poor fails; for whenever it becomes impossible for them to provide for themselves, it becomes the Duty of others to provide for them.

them. Now Work being the Maintenance of the Poor, 'tis evident, that whenever their Work fails, they become Objects of Charity: And this happens many Ways; sometimes it happens for want of Employment, and whenever it does, 'tis a noble Instance of Charity to furnish Work for such useful Hands. 'Tis a Charity which the Rich may make subservient, either to their Pleasure or their Profit; and be it either Profit or Pleasure which accrues to the Rich Man, as it arises from Charity, it will bring a Blessing along with it; and look, whatsoever he doth it shall Prosper.

Sometimes their Want arises from Idleness, which is generally attended with great Corruption of Morals: When this is the Case, 'tis a Kindness, rather than a Punishment, to enure them to labour by wholesome Discipline: For Work being the Poor Man's Maintenance, Idleness in him is the same Thing as Extravagance in the Rich; one destroys the Substance of the Poor, as effectually as the other does the Substance of the Rich.

That the Young, and the Old, whose Years render them incapable of taking Care of themselves; that the Impotent and Lame, who have not only the Necessities, but the Miseries of Life to struggle with; that the diseased in Body or Mind, who want either Strength or Reason to direct it to any useful Purposes, are all fit Objects of Christian Charity and Com-

passion, is too well known to be insisted on. These Calamities which are incident to Human Life, and are not always the Consequences of Vice and Immorality, but come either in the Course of Nature, or are sent by the secret Disposition of the Almighty, what are they, but the Voice of Providence, the Hand of God demanding Charity?

To direct you to the proper Methods of Charity, in regard to the several Objects described, that the Offering of an Honest Heart may not be lost thro' Misapplication; would be an useful, were it a necessary part of this Day's Work: But behold, these Objects are all before you, and the Reports now to be read of the Charitable Institutions of this Ancient and Worthy City, will shew you in what Hands you may safely trust, whatever your Heart inclines you to offer to God for the Relief of the Miserable.

Here the Report was Read.

You have had now the State of these Charities set before you; you see how usefully their Revenue is applied, and yet how short it falls of the several Occasions. It remains on my part, that for the Encouragement of your Liberality, I shou'd speak to the last Thing proposed, namely,

What

What is the Blessing and Reward attending on the faithful Discharge of this Duty : *It is more blessed to give than to receive.*

The Time already spent admonishes me to be short. In a word then : If you compare the Conditions into which Men are divided, and their several Duties ; if you consider the Obligation the Rich are under to assist the Needy, and compare it with the much harder Obligation the Poor are under to toil for a mean Livelihood ; you will have reason to bless God who has placed you on the happier side, and to comply with the Duty of your Station with all Thankfulness to the Almighty, and to acknowledge, that he has chosen for you the better part ; and if you discharge the Duties proper to your Condition, you may have this Comfort added to it, it shall not be taken from you.

2dly, In regard to the present Pleasure and Satisfaction attending upon Works of Charity, the Giver has in all respects a better share than the Receiver. The Poor Man has a present Comfort in the Relief of Charity, 'tis Ease to his Suffering, and 'tis an Encouragement to see that his Poverty is not despised by his Richer Neighbours, who are so compassionate, as to come to the Support and Assistance of it. But what is this to the Joy of giving Ease and Comfort to the oppressed ; 'tis a Godlike Virtue to

do good, and the Pleasure of it has something in it so Divine, that Words cannot express. To be Eyes to the Blind, and Feet to the Lamé, to be a Father to the Poor, and a Defender of all under Oppression, are Characters which bear some resemblance to the Prerogatives of the Almighty, and are the noblest Efforts of a rational Mind, aspiring to be like its great Creator.

3dly, If we look beyond this present Scene, the Difference is wider. There is no Virtue in being relieved, a Poor Man is not a better Man for the Charity he receives; it brings with it an Increase of Duty, and calls upon him for a more sure Trust on God, for greater Thankfulness to him; and some Obligations it lays him under, with respect to his Benefactors here. And it may happen, that the Charity which is his present Relief, may be a burden upon his future Account; and will be so, if he misapplies the Gift. But the Giver has a better Prospect before him, Charity is the Discharge of a Duty, and has the general Promises of Obedience; It is a Virtue likewise distinguished from the rest, and has its own Reward; the Blessings of the Life which is, and of that which is to come: 'Tis a Debt which God will own at the last Day; 'tis a Treasure transferred to Heaven, and will be repaid in never failing Riches.

Riches. To conclude, Charity is a double Maintenance; It gives Temporal Life to the Poor, and Spiritual Life to the Rich: It bestows the Comforts of this World on the Receiver, and the Glories of Immortality on the Giver.



F I N I S.

18
The Lord Mayor, &c.
*Sermons by the Reverend Dr. SHERLOCK,
Dean of Chichester.*

1. **A** Sermon Preach'd before the Queen at
St. James's, Jan. 31. 1703-4.

2. A Sermon Preach'd before the Sons of
the Clergy at St. Paul's, Dec. 5. 1710.

3. A Sermon Preach'd before the Right Ho-
nourable the Lord-Mayor, &c. at St. Paul's,
Nov. 5. 1712.

4. A Sermon Preach'd before the Honourable
House of Commons, at St. Margaret's Westmin-
ster, March 8. 1714.

5. A Sermon Preach'd at the Temple-Church,
November 20, 1715.

6. A Sermon Preach'd before the Society
for the Propagation of the Gospel in Foreign
Parts, at St. Mary-le-Bow. Feb. 17. 1715.

7. A Sermon Preach'd before the Honourable
House of Commons, at St. Margaret's Westmin-
ster, June 7. 1716.

All Sold by John Pemberton.

